

Bishop Creek Water Association

Monthly Board Meeting Agenda

August 12, 2023

7:00 pm

Meeting To Be Conducted via Zoom

1. Opening and Introduction
2. Acceptance of the Monthly Board Meeting Summary for August 15, 2023
3. Approval of the August 2023 Financial Report
4. Bishop Creek Precipitation, Flow, and Storage Report
5. Old Business
 - a. Flow Regulation on Reinhackle Ditch at Mt. Tom Rd.
 - b. BCWA Policy for Handling of Plugged Street Culverts
 - c. Culvert Blockage at 3068 Laurel
 - d. Gate Tampering at S/S Barlow Ln at Underwood Ln
 - e. Water Rights Filing
 - f. Membership Reconciliation (mapping)
 - g. Obstructed Street Culvert in Sarah Way
 - h. Ditch Relocation at NW corner of Carol Ln. (parcel no 011-510-27)
 - i. Inyo County Road Department Policy regarding Plugged/Deteriorated Street Culverts
 - j. Collection of Past Due Assessments (most recent aging report attached)
 - k. Ditch Diversion Box Repair/Rebuild Project
 - l. Bishop Tribe BCWA Membership?
6. Watermaster Report
7. New Business
 - a. Other (by Board or members in good standing)
8. Next Meetings: Monthly Board – October 10, 2023, at 7:00 p.m.
9. Adjournment

Bishop Creek Water Association
Annual Meeting Summary
August 15th, 2023
7:00 pm
Meeting Conducted via Zoom

1. Opening and Introduction

BWCA meeting called to order 7:03 pm. Trustees in attendance: Merry Armstrong, Eric Tillemans and Gene Coufal. Trustees Don Kunze and Mark Frumkin were absent. Watermaster Matt Durkee was present.

2. Acceptance of the Annual Board Meeting Summary for July 11, 2023

The July 11th, 2023, meeting summary was accepted as written.

3. Approval of the July 2023 Financial Report

The financial report for July 2023 was approved unanimously.

4. Bishop Creek Precipitation, Flow, and Storage Report

The Mammoth Pass snow pillow went to zero on August 22nd. All of the SCE Reservoirs are full.

5. Old Business

a. Flow Regulation on Reinhackle Ditch at Mt. Tom Rd.

The Board met on site to familiarize and understand the ditch and flow regimes. Eric will follow up with LADWP personnel to discuss how the diversion structure is performing for downstream uses. Tabled until next meeting.

b. BCWA Policy for Handling of Plugged Street Culverts

The draft letter from last year was included in the packet. After some discussion, Gene will redraft to change the tone of the letter and send it to the Board for discussion at next month's meeting.

c. Water Rights Filing

Ongoing. Gene is working with Ben Arcularius at LADWP.

d. Membership Reconciliation (mapping)

Gene and Merry's edits were completed by LADWP. Gene and Merry will make a final review. Gene is in contact with Inyo County Assessor's office to determine what is needed so that the BCWA will be notifications of ownership changes and lot splits and the BCWA data base can be maintained current.

e. Collection of Past Due Assessments

On hold.

f. Ditch Diversion Box Repair/Rebuild Project

No update

g. Inyo County Road Department Policy regarding Plugged/Deteriorated Street Culverts

No update

h. Flooding Roadway at Highland Dr and Barlow Ln

The issue is resolved and flows are back at normal levels.

i. Obstructed Street Culvert on Sarah Way

No update

j. Ditch Relocation at NW corner of Carol Ln (parcel no011-510-27)

No update

k. Bishop Tribe BCWA Membership?

No response from the Tribe as of yet.

6. Watermaster Report

Matt received 44 calls and 24 texts in July which mostly were concerned with issues with too much water.

7. New Business


- a. Other: The culvert at 3068 Laurel is almost completely blocked. The Board unanimously approved to have Eastern Sierra Community Service District clear the culvert if the property owner stabilizes the site to prevent obstructions from entering the street culvert.

8. Next Monthly Board Meeting– The next monthly Board meeting will be held on September 12th, 2023, at 7:00 p.m.

9. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 7:53 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,



Eric Tillemans

Secretary

Bishop Creek Water Association

BISHOP CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION
FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE MONTH

JULY 2023

CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCE		\$	5,186.36
SAVINGS ACCOUNT BALANCE			<u>68,445.81</u>
TOTAL BANK BALANCES - June 30, 2023			73,632.17

DEPOSITS:

Customer Deposits - Into Checking	\$	18,777.90
Customer Deposits - Into Savings		1,700.00
Workers Comp Refund		-
Interest Income		25.81
Transfer from Savings		<u>-</u>

TOTAL DEPOSITS		20,503.71
----------------	--	-----------

CHECKS WRITTEN:

Water Master Pay -	921.35 x 2	\$	1,842.70
Truck Allowance -	225.00 x 2		450.00
Additional Pay - Vacation Time			-
Telephone			74.81
Payroll Taxes - IRS			921.06
Payroll Taxes - State			71.95
Payroll Taxes - IRS Unemployment			-
USPS - Stamps			194.00
Alex Printing			-
Cal Rural Water Insurance			-
State Fund Insurance			352.66
Holland & Allred - Quarterly Accounting			-
High Country Lumber			-
Guadalupe Lara - Ditch Cleaning			-
Transfer to Savings			<u>-</u>


TOTAL CHECKS WRITTEN			<u>(3,907.18)</u>
----------------------	--	--	-------------------

UNION CHECKING ACCOUNT		\$	20,503.71
------------------------	--	----	-----------

UNION BANK SAVINGS			<u>70,171.62</u>
--------------------	--	--	------------------

TOTAL BANK BALANCES - July 31, 2023		\$	90,228.70
-------------------------------------	--	----	-----------

Bishop Creek Flows at Plant 6



BISHOP CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION

Post Office Box 1273
Bishop, California 93515

DRAFT

January 11, 2022

Name

___ Road

Bishop CA 93514

SUBJECT: Street Culvert Responsibility

Dear Bishop Creek Water Association Member,

Beginning about two years ago the Inyo County Road Department (ICRD) took the position that they can no longer assist the Bishop Creek Water Association (BCWA) in unplugging and replacing deteriorated street culverts. Previously these problems were dealt with cooperatively. This new position has left the Water Association in a quandary. The BCWA does not own assets in the water distribution system, other than structures for water control purposes. Control structures are deemed and considered the property of the association. The BCWA By-Laws Article X, Section 3 provides:

“All boxes, headgates or diversion works diverting water from any main ditch or channel, regardless of by whom installed, shall for all water control purposes be deemed and considered the property of the association. The association reserves the right to exclusively control and regulate water at all boxes, regardless of by whom the same may have been installed...”

In the past, recognizing the need to provide water to upstream and downstream water using members, the BCWA worked cooperatively with the ICRD to unplug and replace culverts. Despite numerous attempts by the BCWA Board of Trustees, Inyo County has not been willing to change from this newly taken position. This has been discussed at the highest levels of Inyo County government.

At this time, since the ICRD will no longer cooperatively participate, it is going to fall on the BCWA and its members to resolve issues with culverts in order to keep water flowing in ditches as required by the court ordered Chandler Decree. The details have yet to be worked out, but it appears that for now, the BCWA will have to be entirely responsible for replacement of culverts conveying Bishop Creek water. With regards to plugged street culverts, regardless of Inyo County's position on assisting with plugged street culverts, the BCWA By-Laws make it clear that the responsibility for keeping

BISHOP CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION

Founded in 1897

BISHOP CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION

Post Office Box 1273
Bishop, California 93515

ditches clean and clear of debris is the responsibility of the property owner. With the above exception, property owners are responsible for all effects, operations, and maintenance of the ditches on their property. The result of this is the keeping of debris from entering and plugging downstream street culverts. Below are some of the pertinent sections from the BCWA By-Laws that apply in this situation. Article IX, Sections 4 and 5 provides:

“Each member shall keep his ditches free and clear of debris (sand, silt, rocks, grass, weeds, and trash) so that water, including waste water, may move for the beneficial use of members below. “

“In the event the equitable distribution of water is impeded or interfered with causing flooding, wastage or other detriment to the flow of water to occur by reason of the refusal, inability or neglect of any member or members to remedy the same, the association may, after due notice, have the remedial work performed and the responsible member or members shall be billed therefor, at the cost of the work.”

ARTICLE XII, Section 2, items 3-6 provide:

“As a homeowner and member of the BCWA you are responsible for the impact of your own action or lack of action in managing water flowing on your property.”

“As a member of the BCWA you are responsible to maintain the ditches and pipes on your property. This maintenance includes the removal of weeds, leaves, and trash ...”

“If you do not properly maintain the ditches and pipes on your property, the BCWA may arrange to have the work done at your expense...”

“Be aware that many factors, including runoff, freezing, irrigation patterns, and fall leaves, can significantly affect the amount of water flowing to your property. You should expect the flow in your ditches to vary, and your ditches and pipes should be sized, designed, and maintained to carry the maximum possible flows without flooding.”

It appears that the greatest problem with plugged street culverts occurs during the fall and winter when leaves and ice get into the system, entering the street culverts. In order to keep culverts clear of debris the most effective method is a grate at the street culvert inlet. Of course, this will create additional work, but it should alleviate a lot of

BISHOP CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION

Founded in 1897

BISHOP CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION

Post Office Box 1273
Bishop, California 93515

headaches, work, and cost due to plugged street culverts. But for the purpose of keeping debris from plugging street culverts it is imperative that members upstream of street culverts install and maintain clear grates on inlets to street culverts. The BCWA cannot be held responsible for the installing, operation, and maintenance of grates on private property and as such the impact to street culverts. As is the case with any ditch, the BCWA must rely on each property owners to maintain a clear unencumbered channel at property boundaries and to not effect property owners immediately upstream and downstream. Street culverts are not any different.

As provide in the BCWA By-Laws, if a street culvert becomes plugged, it is the responsibility of the upstream property owner if it is plugged with leave/debris and there is no mechanism in place to catch the debris. As provided in Article IX, Section 5 (see above) in order to ensure an equitable distribution of water to all members, if the BCWA has to get involved in clearing a downstream culvert, the upstream property owner would be assessed the cost of the culvert clearing.

If you have an issue with water in your ditch, please contact the BCWA Watermaster at (760) 920-7981. You may also contact any one of the Board members for any issue related to the Water Association. Or you may bring your questions to the BCWA Board of Trustees at the monthly meeting. The BCWA Board of Trustees meets on the 2nd Tuesday of each month at 7:00pm to discuss water association business. Currently meetings are being conducted via zoom due to COVID issues – information for participating is on the BCWA website, BishopCreekWater.org.

A copy of the BCWA Articles and By-Laws is attached. Also, a copy can be found on the BCWA website. If you have any questions regarding this, please contact me at (760) 873-6209.

Sincerely,

Board of Trustees
Gene Coufal - President
Merry Armstrong
Don Kunze
Mark Frumkin
Eric Tillemans

enclosure
Cc: BCWA Board Members
BCWA Watermaster

BISHOP CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION
Founded in 1897

Reinackle Ditch Issues (See map)

There are currently 6 outlets/diversions from the Reinackle ditch, 4 directly into Lozito Pond, 1 overflow into Lozito pond, and 1 into Lozito garden area. All outflow from Lozito pond returns into Matlick ditch.

1. Grah ditch into Lozito pond – return Matlick
2. Locking Dam installed by DWP – return Matlick
3. Six inch pipe in base of DWP concrete structure into Lozito pond – return Matlick
4. Six inch pipe in base of DWP concrete structure into Lozito pond – return Matlick
5. Overflow into Lozito pond – return Matlick
6. Access into Lozito garden – not at issue

Part of Mason ditch is diverted into Reinackle above Lozito driveway culvert and DWP structure through 6-8 inch pipe.

During periods of low flows, most or all of the Mason ditch is diverted into Lozito pond because the driveway culvert is lower than the Reinackle ditch in that area (water flows backwards through the culvert under driveway) then into Lozito pond – return Matlick.

The DWP diversion structure #2 must be set high enough to enable the Mason and Reinackle waters to flow down Reinackle ditch.

If water backs up too much it overflows into #5 overflow pipe and into Lozito pond – return Matlick

Lozito pond has multiple inlets from the Reinackle and all return to the Matlick.

Possible suggestions

1. Lozito diversion structure #2 needs to be locked and level raised so very little water is going into Lozito pond.
2. Grah diversion #1 structure needs to be locked and raised so very little water is going into Lozito pond.
3. Pipes #3 and #4 need to be locked and/or very little water allowed to pass. This supplies Lozito water features in his north yard, then into Lozito pond - return Matlick
4. The small Mason ditch diversion pipe needs to be eliminated, and all Mason ditch water restored to original Reinackle ditch east of driveway. Because the elevation of the culvert under the driveway is lower than the grade of the Reinackle ditch, water will flow backwards from the Mason ditch into Lozito pond if diversion #2 is not high enough.
5. Watermaster should check regularly diversions #1, #2, #3 and #4 as these structures have been historically tampered with. Water flowing through #1 and #2 need measurement indicators to determine allowable water flow.

MT. TOM ROAD

REINACRE

MASONRY DITCH

OLD DITCH

REINACRE

GRAV DITCH

GRAV HOUSE

LOZITO/GRV POND

LOZITO HOUSE

N

TO GARDEN

TWO 6" PIPES WERE INSTALLED AND OBSERVED ALSO FOUND TO

THIS END OF POWER OF CULVERT THE GRADE IS LOWER THAN REINACRE

LOCKING OR/DRIVERS INSTALLED BY

TO MARIK

6


4

3

2

5

1



Bishop Creek Water Association Monthly Meeting

Date 8/15/23

Attendance Sheet

Name	Address	Email
Merry Armstrong		
Eric Tillemans		
Gene Coufal		
Matt Durkee		
Chad Lamacchia		

BISHOP CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION
FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE MONTH

AUGUST 2023

CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCE		\$	20,057.08
SAVINGS ACCOUNT BALANCE			<u>70,171.62</u>

TOTAL BANK BALANCES - July 30, 2023			90,228.70
-------------------------------------	--	--	-----------

DEPOSITS:

Customer Deposits - Into Checking	\$	1,940.00
Customer Deposits - Into Savings		14.90
Workers Comp Refund		98.05
Interest Income		-
Transfer from Savings		-
		<u>-</u>

TOTAL DEPOSITS		2,052.95
----------------	--	----------

CHECKS WRITTEN:

Water Master Pay -	921.35 x 2	\$	1,842.70
Truck Allowance -	225.00 x 2		450.00
Additional Pay - Vacation Time			-
Telephone			74.81
Payroll Taxes - IRS			-
Payroll Taxes - State			-
Payroll Taxes - IRS Unemployment			-
USPS - Stamps			-
Alex Printing			-
Cal Rural Water Insurance			-
State Fund Insurance			-
Holland & Allred - Quarterly Accounting			1,714.80
High Country Lumber			-
Guadalupe Lara - Ditch Cleaning			-
Transfer to Savings			-
			<u>-</u>


TOTAL CHECKS WRITTEN			<u>(4,082.31)</u>
----------------------	--	--	-------------------

USBANK CHECKING ACCOUNT		\$	18,012.82
-------------------------	--	----	-----------

USBANK BANK SAVINGS			<u>70,186.52</u>
---------------------	--	--	------------------

TOTAL BANK BALANCES - August 31, 2023		\$	88,199.34
---------------------------------------	--	----	-----------

Bishop Creek Flows at Plant 6



• **From:** MARK FRUMKIN <markfrumkin@sbcglobal.net>
Date: September 9, 2023 at 6:18:40 PM PDT
To: GENE COUFAL <nc3e@aol.com>, Merry Armstrong <merryarmstrong@gmail.com>, Eric Tillemans <eric.tillemans@ladwp.com>, Don Kunze <kunze.don@gmail.com>
Subject: Reinhackle ditch (forwarding this as an email)
BCWA

Dear Mr Frumkin

I am sending you this text. I apologize for its length but I do not have your email.

Please share this with your other board members. Thank you George Lozito.

9/10/23

Bishop Creek Water Association Board of Trustees:

It has come to the attention of the undersigned that BCWA has been reviewing the flows on the Reinhackle Ditch and the Matlick Ditch. Apparently, BCWA is considering suggestions to reduce flows into the Grah/O'brien/Lozito pond.

None of us has been notified regarding any concerns or possible changes in the ditch flows. Indeed Mr. Lozito was specifically told by a BCWA board member in May that there are no concerns or complaints. Nor do we know of any reason behind the proposal to reduce these flows.

It is our understanding that any concerns on the flows were resolved in 2016. At that time Mr. Lozito's suggestion was to have DWP construct water structures in the Reinhackle Ditch and divert additional water from Matlick Ditch into the Reinhackle. That additional water would flow beyond the Grah/O'brien/Lozito pond to downstream properties including the Armstrong's property. DWP completed the work to create diversion structures and some culverts. Although not all of the culverts were enlarged which would have allowed more increased flow, the work resulted in increased flow to the downstream properties including the Armstrong's properties. We thought that this work resolved concerns. However, if there is now a desire for more water to flow downstream, increased flows for that purpose can be achieved by enlarging certain culverts in lieu of reducing the ditch flows. Of course this needs to be done in concert with downstream Matlick Ditch users.

Any substantial change to the flows as proposed by BCWA may well have environmental and fiscal impacts on our properties. Therefore, it is incumbent upon BCWA to inform each of us as affected property owners before it considers flow changes of a large magnitude. Moreover, if BCWA were to seek reduced flows which results in a significantly reduced amount of water in the Grah/O'brien/Lozito pond, a permit would likely be required from CDFW since the smaller pond would adversely affect existing and wildlife resources. CDFW did exactly that in 1994 when they issued a permit specifying the pond size and stream flow maintenance.

Mr. Grah and Mr. Lozito have extensive knowledge, statistical and historical information on this issue that they would be happy to share. If this item is again agenzized for the September BCWA meeting, we request that no action be taken and that it be tabled until after all affected property owners are consulted, informed of the full nature of any proposed action and have had the opportunity to participate in decision making. In this way a cooperative and reasonable resolution to any perceived issues can be resolved to the satisfaction of all concerned.

Therefore, before this issue is considered by BCWA Board, we request that an in person meeting be conducted with us, and a representative or representatives of the BCWA board and with any other affected property owners.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Dave Grah
George and Kerry Lozito
Angus and Linda O'Brien

10 September 2023

Bishop Creek Water Association:

This letter is in response to Item 5a, "Flow Regulation on Reinackle Ditch at Mt. Tom Rd." in the August 2023 Bishop Creek Water Association meeting packet. I live at 397 Mount Tom Road and this item directly affects my property.

1. I am very concerned to see in item 2, "possible suggestions", of the second to last page of the packet says the BCWA is considering setting the diversion on my property so "very little water" goes to the pond. When I moved into my home in the early 1990's I replaced the haphazard arrangement of rocks at this diversion point with a diversion structure developed in coordination with the BCWA. The intent was to operate this diversion as desired by the BCWA and the structure was initially set to divert far less water into the pond than had previously been diverted by the rocks. At no time during the life of this structure did the BCWA indicate the amount or percent of water diverted to the pond here be adjusted from how it was initially set. And ,although admittedly this structure fell into disrepair in later years, it continued to operate as designed until it was replaced by the large, unattractive, locked concrete structure installed by City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power. In light of this, I am concerned and dismayed to see the language that "very little water" should be going to the pond at my property. I would hope that the BCWA would consider the circumstances of equity and precedence and strive to act based on an articulated rationale rather than unsupported vague statements such as "very little water" should go to the pond on my property. Also, any such considerations of equity and precedence should include consideration of flow in other diversions to the pond as well as consideration of pond health. Finally, I think it should be noted I have supported BCWA's role in regulating flow in its ditch system and have taken proactive steps to support this on my property including construction and operation of diversion structures and dedication of easements to the BCWA where none were present previously.
2. The pages related to this item ignore the extremely important fact that current grade of the ditch as well as associated culverts and diversion structures near my east property line appear to be several inches higher than they were originally. In this case I use the term "originally" to mean before my house and the nearby pond were built (about 1960) and before there were diversions out of the Reinackle to the pond here. This is shown on the attached Reinackle Ditch Profile (made available to the BCWA previously). This grade issue has contributed to recent flooding on my property in this area and I have had to raise the banks of the ditch and construct an overflow to protect my property from damage). Until this elevation issue is resolved – until these features are lowered to their "proper" elevations - it will likely be impossible to significantly change the distribution of flows here and especially difficult to do so without subjecting my property to further flooding. To aid in interpretation of the profile, it should be noted it represents conditions prior to the installation of the current diversion structure by DWP. The left side of the profile is the end of the pipe under my driveway and the profile extends about 200 feet downstream.
3. Similar to my item 2, the item numbered 6 on the second to last page of the packet states there are no issues with this culvert and this statement ignores the very important fact that this culvert is installed too high. This can be seen on the attached

profile. The culvert is near the eastern end of the area that the grades are raised and the elevation is too high.

4. I am a licensed civil engineer with training and experience in hydraulics and flow control. I am a past BCWA board member and have lived at this location over 30 years. Although often late with the payment of my assessments, I have been a supporter of the BCWA.


Recommendations:

1. Reestablish grade on the Reinackle close to "original" grade in the area from about 60 east of my driveway to about 200 feet east of my driveway.
2. Reconstruct culverts and pipes on ditch to this new elevation (note that larger culverts set low are apt to perform better).
3. Modify diversion structure in this area as necessary to accommodate regraded ditch and to allow for effective flow management (and to reduce backwater on my property).
4. Establish diversion strategy from Reinackle into pond considering equity and 30 to 60 years of flow precedent.

Thanks for the Association' consideration.



David Grah
397 Mount Tom Road
d_b_grah@yahoo.com
760-784-1471



1" = 1' V 1" = 20' H

REINACKLE DITCH PROFILE

DRAFT

To All Members
Address
Bishop CA 93514

September 12, 2023

SUBJECT: Street Culverts Carryings Bishop Creek Water

We need your help. As you may or may not be aware, beginning about two years ago the Inyo County Road Department (ICRD) took the position that they can no longer assist the Bishop Creek Water Association (BCWA) in unplugging and replacing deteriorated street culverts. They have taken this position claiming that California Water Code Section 7034 is not applicable (which unfortunately would require expensive legal fees to contest) and ignoring the fact that throughout Bishop and the surrounding area the BCWA ditches provide for significant flood control. Think back to where we would be if BCWA ditches were not available and utilized during the managing of this past winter's runoff or the handling of storm waters from Hurricane Hilary. The Watermaster in cooperation with LADWP was extremely busy juggling ditch flows to adjust for street runoff into the BCWA ditches so that flooding did not occur or was minimized. This benefit that the BCWA ditches provides should not go unrecognized.

In the past, recognizing the responsibilities and benefits provided, and the court requirement to provide water to upstream and downstream water using members, the BCWA worked cooperatively with the ICRD and LADWP to unplug and replace street culverts. Despite numerous attempts by the BCWA Board of Trustees, Inyo County (IC) has not been willing to change from this newly taken position. This new position by the ICRD has left the Water Association in a quandary. At this time, since the ICRD is no longer cooperatively participating, it is going to fall on the BCWA and its members to resolve issues with culverts in order to keep water flowing in ditches as required by the court ordered Chandler Decree.

With regards to plugged/deteriorated street culverts, regardless of Inyo County's position on assisting with plugged street culverts, the BCWA By-Laws make it clear that the responsibility for keeping ditches clean and clear of debris is the responsibility of property owners. Except for key diversion structures used for water control purpose, where BCWA ownership is implied (see BCWA By-Laws Article X, Section 3 - a copy can be found on the BCWA website BishopCreekWater.org) the BCWA does not own assets in the water distribution system. Property owners are responsible for all effects, and maintenance of the ditches on their property.

Recently, Inyo County personnel have communicated that Inyo County Counsel has opined that property owners are responsible to the center of the street and as such would be responsible for any plugging or deterioration of culverts in the street to the centerline. This opinion certainly clouds the issue and makes it ever more important for all members to keep debris from entering ditches, potentially plugging downstream street culverts (pertinent BCWA By-Laws sections are ARTICLE IX, Sections 4 and 5, and ARTICLE XII, Section 2, items 3-6).

The most significant problems with plugged street culverts occur during the fall and winter when leaves, debris and ice get into the ditch system, entering the street culverts. The most effective means of keeping debris from plugging street culverts is for members immediately upstream of

BISHOP CREEK WATER ASSOCIATION

Founded in 1897

DRAFT

street culverts to install and maintain grates on inlets to the street culverts. Of course, this will create additional work, but in the long run, it should alleviate a lot of headaches, work, and cost due to plugged street culverts. As is the case with any ditch, where the BCWA must rely on each property owner to do their part, street culverts need to be handled similarly.

In conclusion, for the benefit of all members, property owners need to maintain a clean, clear unencumbered ditch on their property. If your property is immediately upstream of a street culvert, a grate should be installed at the culvert inlet to restrict leaves, twigs and debris from entering the culvert. If Inyo County Counsel's opinion is correct, consistent with the BCWA By-Laws Article IX, Section 5, culvert maintenance and integrity would be the responsibility of the property owners immediately upstream and downstream of street culverts. In order to ensure an equitable distribution of water to all members, if the BCWA has to get involved in clearing/replacing a street culvert, the upstream/downstream property owners may have to be assessed the cost of the work on the culvert (BCWA By-Laws Article IX, Section 5).

If you have an issue with water in your ditch, please contact the BCWA Watermaster at (760) 920-7981. If you have any questions regarding this or any other Water Association related issue, please contact me or any one of the Board members. Or you may bring your questions to the BCWA Board of Trustees at the monthly board meeting. The BCWA Board of Trustees meets on the 2nd Tuesday of each month at 7:00pm. Information regarding the meeting location, agenda, and the meeting package is posted on the BCWA website.

Sincerely,

Board of Trustees
Gene Coufal – President – 760- 873-6209
Merry Armstrong – 760-920-9959
Don Kunze – 760-873-3375
Mark Frumkin – 818-590-0554
Eric Tillemans – 760-920-8989

enclosure

Cc: 1st District Supervisor T. Orrill
3rd District Supervisor S. Marcellin
4th District Supervisor J. Roeser
BCWA Board Members
BCWA Watermaster

10:34 AM

08/29/23

**Bishop Creek Water Association
Customer Contact List
August 29, 2023**

11-031-25	44.00
11-192-25	-4.00
11-120-04	529.40
11-080-22	345.40
08-140-20	345.40
08-140-37	345.40
11-080-23	44.00
11-031-22	-40.00
11-192-12	44.00
11-480-16	88.00
11-080-02	-4.00
11-170-09	44.00
11-080-39	834.00
11-080-36	264.00
11-320-67	792.40
11-280-66	59.80
11-280-12	172.00
11-280-71	308.00
08-140-32	220.00
11-070-48	132.00
11-120-52	132.00
01-230-03	379.40
11-340-34	44.00
11-110-60	268.00
11-340-32	4.00
11-320-10	44.00
11-080-42	220.00
01-020-01	44.00
11-480-19	-40.00
11-480-18	44.00
01-171-14	220.00
10-314-02	268.00
11-031-29	44.00
11-070-45	44.00
11-440-15	4.00
11-080-45	44.00
11-420-02	4.00
11-180-07	44.00
01-230-05	44.00
11-192-37	44.00
11-180-44	379.40
11-191-17	-16.00
11-020-44	132.00
11-470-31	44.00
11-191-02	44.00
11-080-48	44.00
11-280-58	88.00
11-192-34	4.00
01-230-27	92.00
08-120-04A	132.00
11-170-12A	44.00
11-120-34	176.00
11-080-02	44.00
11-180-14	-40.00
11-180-30	44.00
08-140-41	224.00
11-110-31	44.00
11-300-13	44.00

- 8700⁰⁰ LABOR

TOTAL 16,929.80

10:34 AM

08/29/23

Bishop Creek Water Association Customer Contact List August 29, 2023

11-180-40	4.00
11-500-01	44.00
11-040-10	345.40
11-290-59	44.00
11-320-69	44.00
11-192-44	44.00
11-270-13	44.00
11-470-22	44.00
11-020-07	308.00
11-280-32	44.00
11-330-58	44.00
11-120-09	308.00
11-510-27	44.00
08-151-08	44.00
11-320-62	308.00
11-420-10	264.00
11-460-20	44.00
11-370-04	726.40
11-110-11	4.00
11-180-60	44.00
11-040-12	-56.00
11-020-29	44.00
11-320-36	1,000.40
08-111-06	44.00
11-420-03	264.00
08-350-01	-40.00
11-510-16	44.00
11-470-08	4.00
11-070-21	-60.00
10-290-02	44.00
11-110-39	308.00
11-120-33	4.00
11-280-43	428.00
11-460-10	48.00
11-320-40	1,000.40
11-410-06	-40.00
11-500-08	44.00
11-191-01	88.00
11-020-46	48.00
11-500-09	44.00
11-500-10	44.00
11-420-15	44.00
11-110-14	404.40
11-080-13	88.00
11-260-20	-40.00
11-050-35	-10.00
11-090-25	345.40
11-410-18	132.00
11-110-10	264.00
11-100-04	44.00
11-192-30	-10.00
11-260-21	-180.00
11-192-27	4.00
11-460-18	88.00
11-320-64	132.00
11-430-44	-40.00
11-120-45	44.00
11-270-15	88.00
11-250-14	44.00
11-170-19	88.00
11-060-34	157.40
11-192-06	132.00
11-090-40	44.00
11-080-40	179.40
11-180-28	44.00
11-180-46	4.00

- \$340⁰⁰ LABOR